

Trifluoromethylation

DOI: 10.1002/anie.201210250

Alkene Trifluoromethylation Coupled with C-C Bond Formation: Construction of Trifluoromethylated Carbocycles and Heterocycles**

Hiromichi Egami, Ryo Shimizu, Shintaro Kawamura, and Mikiko Sodeoka*

The trifluoromethyl group is of great interest in pharmaceutical chemistry, agrochemistry, and materials science because of its unique properties,[1] and great efforts have been made to develop reactions for its introduction into organic molecules. [2] Indeed, many methods for formation of not only C_{sp2}— CF_3 , but also C_{sp^3} – CF_3 bonds have been developed. [3,4] Nevertheless, new synthetic methods to form C-CF₃ bonds, especially C_{sp3}-CF₃ bonds, in a wider range of molecular contexts are still needed.

Regarding trifluoromethylation of the C=C bond, [5] a notable development has been the deprotonative trifluoromethylation of simple alkenes, a method reported in 2011 (Scheme 1 a). [5a-c] In contrast, we recently reported [6] the trifluoromethylation of allylsilanes using the CuI/Togni's reagent (1)[7] system. Based on the resulting mechanistic insight, oxytrifluoromethylation of styrene derivatives was achieved under mild reaction conditions and direct synthesis of β-trifluoromethylstyrene derivatives from styrenes was demonstrated.[8] Szabó and co-workers also independently studied the oxytrifluoromethylation of multiple bonds with the CuI/1 system, [9a] and Zhu and Buchwald developed an intramolecular reaction of simple alkenes^[9b] in the wake of their deprotonative trifluoromethylation.^[5a]

Following from our previous studies, we investigated difunctionalization-type trifluoromethylation of the C=C bond, [10] thus focusing on the use of carbon nucleophiles. In 2012, Liu and co-workers reported the palladium/ytterbiumcatalyzed oxidative aryl trifluoromethylation of activated alkenes using a combination of TMSCF₃/CsF/PhI(OAc)₂.^[11] Although Liu's method provided structures bearing a trifluoromethyl group, only oxindole synthesis from α,β -unsaturated amide derivatives was demonstrated. Other types of carbocycles and heterocycles, such as indane, tetralin, indoline, and

[*] Dr. H. Egami, R. Shimizu, S. Kawamura, Prof. Dr. M. Sodeoka Synthetic Organic Chemistry Laboratory, RIKEN 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198 (Japan) E-mail: sodeoka@riken.jp

Dr. H. Egami, S. Kawamura, Prof. Dr. M. Sodeoka Sodeoka Live Cell Chemistry Project, ERATO Japan Science and Technology Agency 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198 (Japan)

R. Shimizu, Prof. Dr. M. Sodeoka

Graduate School of Science and Engineering Saitama University

255 Shimo-okubo, Sakura-ku, Saitama 338-8570 (Japan)

[**] This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-aid for Young Scientists (B) from the MEXT (No. 23750116) and by Project Funding for Basic Science from RIKEN.



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201210250.

a) Reported electrophilic trifluoromethylation^[5, 6]

b) Carbotrifluoromethylation of alkene

Scheme 1. a) Reported electrophilic trifluoromethylation. [5,6] b) Trifluoromethylation coupled with construction of carbocycles and heterocycles.

tetrahydroquinoline, are also found in many bioactive compounds, and their trifluoromethylated derivatives may exhibit altered potency. It is well known that treatment of an alkene bearing allylic protons under trifluoromethylation conditions provides the deprotonative trifluoromethylation product (Scheme 1 a). [5,6] Difunctionalization-type trifluoromethylation of unactivated alkenes, especially those having allylic protons, is still challenging (Scheme 1b). Based on our previous mechanistic insights, [6,8] we considered that the acceleration of the reaction by orbital interactions between the alkene and aryl group would favor the desired trifluoromethylation reaction coupled with intramolecular C-C bond formation. Herein we report the copper-catalyzed carbotrifluoromethylation of simple C=C bonds, using the Cu^I/1 system, as well as a unique 1,6-oxytrifluoromethylation reaction.

To achieve carbotrifluoromethylation of a simple alkene bearing allylic protons, it is important to prevent competitive deprotonative trifluoromethylation of the alkene.^[5,6] Compound 2a was used as a test substrate for the screening of reaction conditions (Table 1). Use of [(MeCN)₄Cu]PF₆ in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature selectively afforded the deprotonative trifluoromethylation product 4a in low yield (entry 1). The carbotrifluoromethylation product 3a was obtained in 18% yield in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) at 80°C, but 4a was again the major product (entry 2). Surpris-

Table 1: Screening of reaction conditions. [a]

Entry	Cat.	Solvent	Yield [%] ^[b]	
			3 a	4a
1 ^[c]	[Cu(MeCN) ₄]PF ₆	CH ₂ Cl ₂	2	19
2	$[Cu(MeCN)_4]PF_6$	DCE	18	43
3	Cul	DCE	58	12
4	Cul	CHCl₃	57	22
5	Cul	EtOH	26	4
6	Cul	DMF	50	22
7	Cul	1,4-dioxane	64 ^[e]	trace
8	Cul	1,4-dioxane ^[f]	78 ^[e]	trace
9	CuOAc	1,4-dioxane	59	10
10	Cu (OAc) ₂	1,4-dioxane	0	0

[a] The reactions were carried out with copper salt (10 mol%) and 1 (1.2 equiv) at $80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ on a 0.2 mmol scale, unless otherwise mentioned. [b] Determined by ^{19}F NMR analysis of the reaction mixture using trifluorotoluene as an internal standard. [c] Run at 23 °C. [d] Not detected. [e] Yield of isolated product. [f] Degassed solvent was used. DMF = N, N-dimethylformamide.

ingly, CuI provided **3a** in 58% yield, together with **4a** in 12% yield (entry 3). It was found that the solvent affected the product selectivity (entries 3–7). The reaction of **2a** in 1,4-dioxane afforded the desired product **3a** with high selectivity (entry 7). Dissolved oxygen in the solvent interfered with the desired reaction and **1** was partly decomposed when solvent, which was not degassed, was used. A higher yield was observed in degassed solvent (entry 8). Copper(II) species did not work for this reaction. For example, no reaction was observed with Cu(OAc)₂ (entry 10).

Having established optimized reaction conditions, we next examined the scope of this reaction (Table 2). Other fivemembered-ring products (3b-d) were obtained in good yields. The reaction of 2b bearing a methoxy group at the m-position proceeded smoothly to give the cyclized product in 91% yield. Cyclization proceeded predominantly at the position *ortho* to the methoxy group (regioisomers 3b = 63%; 3b' = 28%). The substrate bearing a naphthyl group, 2d, which could potentially provide both five- and six-memberedring products, gave only the five-membered-ring product 3d in 77 % yield. In contrast to this, it was found that formation of six-membered rings (3e-j) was much faster than that of fivemembered rings, and no deprotonative trifluoromethylation product was observed, even in chlorinated solvents. The substrate **2e** afforded the corresponding product **3e** in 89 % yield even at 40 °C in CH₂Cl₂. Although electronic effects at the phenyl ring affected the reaction rate, good to high yields of the carbotrifluoromethylation products were obtained. The TBS group remained intact under the reaction conditions used, and the nonprotected phenol derivative was successfully converted into the corresponding product 3h in 66 % yield. [12] Bromine and chlorine on the phenyl ring were tolerant of the reaction conditions (3i, 3j). A methyl group on the terminal olefin $(R^3 = Me)$ did not affect the desired reaction, and the reaction of compound 2k proceeded at 40°C without

Table 2: Trifluoromethylation of simple alkenes coupled with construction of carbocycles ^[a]

[a] The reactions were carried out with CuI (10 mol%) and 1 (1.2 equiv) in degassed 1,4-dioxane at 80°C, unless otherwise mentioned. [b] Yield determined by 1 H NMR analysis of a mixture with 2b. [c] Run in CH₂Cl₂. [d] Run at 40°C. [e] Run in DCE. [f] Yield of diastereomeric mixture.

difficulty to provide the carbocyclic product $3\mathbf{k}$ having a quaternary carbon center, bearing a trifluoroethyl group, in 98% yield. To our delight, $2\mathbf{l}$ and $2\mathbf{m}$ bearing no quaternary carbon atom selectively afforded the desired corresponding carbocyclic products $3\mathbf{l}$ and $3\mathbf{m}$ in 76% and 61% yields, respectively. The reaction of the biaryl compound $2\mathbf{n}$ bearing methylene protons positioned between a phenyl group and a vinyl group also proceeded without difficulty. The corresponding carbocycle $3\mathbf{n}$ was obtained in 95% yield and no deprotonative trifluoromethylation product was observed. Reaction of the substrate having an oxygen substituent, $2\mathbf{o}$, also proceeded smoothly at 40°C in $\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$ to give $3\mathbf{o}$ in good yield (diastereomeric mixture, d.r. = 1:0.8).

This reaction system was successfully applied to N-protected allylaniline and homoallylaniline derivatives, thus affording trifluoromethylated heterocycles (Table 3). The reaction of N-Boc-allylaniline **5a** gave **6a** in 85% yield. This reaction could be also applied to TBS-protected allylaniline, that is, **5b** afforded the corresponding heterocyclic product **6b** in good yield. Substituents on the phenyl ring had a negligible impact on these reactions, and high yields of the corresponding indoline derivatives **6c-e** were obtained. Six-membered-ring formation was faster than that of five-membered-ring formation, as in the case of carbocycles, and **6f** was produced in 93% yield within 1 hour.

In anticipation of seven-membered ring forming carbotrifluoromethylation of alkenes, the substrate **7a** was exposed to the described reaction conditions. To our surprise, the 1,6oxytrifluoromethylation product **8a** was obtained in 77%

4001



Table 3: Carbotrifluoromethylation of N-protected allylanilines and

[a] The reactions were carried out with CuI (10 mol%) and 1 (2 equiv) in degassed 1,4-dioxane at 80°C, unless otherwise mentioned. [b] Run for 1 h

yield, and no carbocyclic product was observed (Scheme 2). Similar results were obtained in the reactions of **7b** and **7c**. In these reactions, deprotonative trifluoromethylation products were isolated in 7%, 8%, and 25% yield from **7a**, **7b**, and **7c**, respectively. We suppose that this reaction proceeds by a 1,5-hydride shift and trapping of an intermediate by 2-iodobenzoate generated from **1**.

Scheme 2. 1,6-Oxytrifluoromethylation of alkenes.

The proposed mechanism is illustrated in Scheme 3. Although the true active species is not clear, we speculate that an electrophilic active species would be generated by the

[CF₃⁺] = unidentified active species

Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism.

reaction of copper iodide with 1. The alkene moiety is first activated by an electrophilic active species, then electron transfer from the aryl ring through the C=C bond and C-C bond formation would occur. Based on the fact that the reaction of the six-membered ring was faster than that of the five-membered ring, we believe that acceleration by orbital interaction of aryl ring and alkene is crucial for this carbotri-fluoromethylation.

In summary, we have achieved copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of simple alkenes bearing allylic protons, coupled with $C_{\rm sp^3}$ – $C_{\rm Ar}$ bond formation. These reactions provide trifluoromethylated carbocycles and heterocycles in good to high yields. We propose that orbital interactions between the alkene and aryl ring promotes the desired reaction. In addition, our finding of 1,6-oxytrifluoromethylation through a 1,5-hydride shift raises the interesting possibility of trifluoromethylation-initiated remote functionalization. Further investigations of this reaction system and mechanistic studies are under way in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

General procedure for trifluoromethylation of simple alkenes coupled with construction of carbocycles: CuI (3.8 mg, 10 mol%) and Togni's reagent (1; 76 mg, 1.2 equiv) were weighed and added to a Schlenk flask, which was flame-dried under vacuum. The flask was evacuated and back filled with nitrogen. Degassed 1,4-dioxane (1 mL) and 2a (55.3 mg, 0.2 mmol) were then added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C and diluted with ethyl acetate (5 mL). The solution was washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄. After filtration, the organic solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate 20:1) to give the trifluoromethylated product 3a (53.9 mg, 78%) as a colorless oil.

Received: December 23, 2012 Published online: February 26, 2013

Keywords: alkene · copper · fluorine · heterocycles · homogeneous catalysis

- a) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis Reactivity, Applications, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; b) J.-P. Bégué, D. Bonnet-Delpon, Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine, Wiley-VCH, Hoboken, 2008; c) I. Ojima, Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, 2009; d) P. Jeschke, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 570; e) M. Shimizu, T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 218; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 214; f) M. Schlosser, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 5558; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5432; g) C. Isanbor, D. O'Hangan, J. Fluorine Chem. 2006, 127, 303; h) K. Müller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, Science 2007, 317, 1881; i) W. K. Hagmann, J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359.
- [2] For recent reviews on trifluoromethylation reactions, see: a) Y. Zheng, J.-A. Ma, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2745; b) S. Roy, B. T. Gregg, G. W. Gribble, V.-D. Le, S. Roy, Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 2161; c) O. A. Tomashenko, V. V. Grushin, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475; d) T. Furuya, A. S. Kamlet, T. Ritter, Nature 2011, 473, 470; e) H. Amii, J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2011, 69, 752; f) X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann, M. Beller, Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 1744; g) A. Studer, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9082; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950; h) Y. Ye, M. S. Sanford, Synlett 2012, 2005.

- [3] For selected reports on catalytic C_{sp^2} - CF_3 bond-forming reactions, see: a) M. Oishi, H. Kondo, H. Amii, Chem. Commun. 2009, 1909; b) X. Wang, L. Truesdale, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3648; c) E. J. Cho, T. D. Cenecal, T. Kinzel, Y. Zhang, D. A. Watson, S. L. Buchwald, Science 2010, 328, 1679; d) R. Shimizu, H. Egami, T. Nagi, J. Chae, Y. Hamashima, M. Sodeoka, Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 5947; e) M. S. Wiehn, E. V. Vinogradova, A. Togni, J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 951; f) T. Knauber, F. Arikan, G.-V. Röschenthaler, L. J. Gooßen, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2689; g) J. Xu, D.-F. Luo, B. Xiao, Z.-J. Liu, T.-J. Gong, Y. Fu, L. Liu, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4300; h) T. Liu, Q. Shen, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2342; i) X. Mu, S. Chen, X. Zhen, G. Liu, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6039; j) D. A. Nagib, D. W. C. MacMillan, Nature 2011, 480, 224; k) Z. Weng, R. Lee, W. Jia, Y. Yuan, W. Wang, X. Feng, K.-W. Huang, Organometallics 2011, 30, 3229; l) A. T. Parsons, T. D. Senecal, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3001; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2947; m) Z. He, T. Luo, M. Hu, Y. Cao, J. Hu, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 4010; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3944; n) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1298; o) Y. Ye, M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9034; p) X.-G. Zhang, H.-X. Dai, M. Wasa, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11948; q) Y. Fujiwara, J. A. Dixon, F. O'Hara, E. D. Funder, D. D. Dixon, R. A. Rodriguez, R. D. Baxter, B. Herlé, N. Sach, M. R. Collins, Y. Ishihara, P. S. Baran, Nature 2012, 492, 95.
- [4] For selected reports on C_{sp^3} – CF_3 bond-forming reactions, see: a) T. Umemoto, K. Adachi, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5692; b) Y. Itoh, K. Mikami, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7199; c) H. Nagao, Y. Yamane, T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 666; d) S. Mizuta, N. Shibata, S. Akiti, H. Fujimoto, S. Nakamura, T. Toru, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3707; e) D. A. Nagib, M. E. Scott, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10875; f) A. E. Allen, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4986; g) P. V. Pham, D. A. Nagib, D. W. C. MacMillan, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 6243; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6119; h) Q.-H. Deng, H. Wadepohl, L. H. Gade, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10769; i) M. Hu, C. Ni, J. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257; j) P. Novák, A. Lishchynskyi, V. V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16167; k) C. Feng, T.-P. Loh, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3458; l) G. K. S. Prakash, P. V. Jog, P. T. D. Batamack, G. A. Olah, Science 2012, 338, 1324.
- [5] a) A. T. Parsons, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9286 9289; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9120-9123; b) J. Xu, Y. Fu, D.-F. Luo, Y.-Y. Jiang, B. Xiao, Z.-J. Liu, T.-J. Gong, L. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15300; c) X. Wang, Y. Ye, S. Zhang, J. Feng, Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16410; d) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2106.
- [6] R. Shimizu, H. Egami, Y. Hamashima, M. Sodeoka, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 4655; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4577. Recently, the same type of reaction was reported, see: S. Mizuta, O. Galicia-López, K. M. Engle, S. Verhoog, K. Wheelhouse, G. Rassias, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8583.
- [7] For selected reports on trifluoromethylation using Togni's reagent, see: a) P. Eisenberger, S. Gischig, A. Togni, Chem.

- Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2579; b) I. Kieltsch, P. Eisenberger, A. Togni, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 768; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 754; c) R. Koller, K. Stanek, D. Stolz, R. Aardoom, K. Niedermann, A. Togni, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4396; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4332; d) K. Niedermann, N. Früh, E. Vinogradova, M. S. Wiehn, A. Moreno, A. Togni, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 1091; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1059.
- [8] H. Egami, R. Shimizu, M. Sodeoka, Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 5503.
- [9] a) P. G. Janson, I. Ghoneim, N. O. Ilchenko, K. J. Szabó, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2882; b) R. Zhu, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12462. Other types of oxytrifluoromethylations of C=C bond have been reported. See: c) T. Fuchikami, Y. Shibata, H. Urata, Chem. Lett. 1987, 521; d) K. Uneyama, Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 555; e) Y. Sato, S. Watanabe, K. Uneyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 1840; f) T. Yajima, H. Nagano, C. Saito, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7027; g) C.-P. Zhang, Z.-L. Wang, Q.-Y. Chen, C.-T. Zhang, Y.-C. Gu, J.-C. Xiao, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6632; h) Y. Li, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 8345; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8221; i) Y. Yasu, T. Koike, M. Akita, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9705; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 9567.
- [10] For selected reviews on difunctionalization of olefins, see: a) B. Jacques, K. Muñiz in Catalyzed Carbon-Heteroatom Bond Formation (Ed.: A. K. Yudin), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2011, pp. 119-135; b) V. Kotov, C. C. Scarborough, S. S. Stahl, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1910; c) K. H. Jensen, M. S. Sigman, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 4083; d) S. R. Chemler, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3009; e) S. E. Denmark, W. E. Kuester, M. T. Burk, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 11098-11113; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10938-10953.
- [11] X. Mu, T. Wu, H.-Y. Wang, Y.-L. Guo, G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012. 134. 878.
- [12] Interestingly, the spiro compound 3p was obtained in the reactions of 2f, 2g, and 2h in yields of 8%, 10%, and 21%, respectively. For the mechanism, we hypothesize that C-C bond formation directly occurs simultaneously with electron transfer. However, because of the generation of 3p, the possibility of the mechanism to proceed via a spirointermediate with subsequent 1,2-migration cannot be excluded.

[13] N-Mesyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-5-fluoroindoline was synthesized using a multistep procedure. See: F. Bertrand, V. Pevere, B. Quiclet-Sire, S. Z. Zard, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1069.

4003